





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			9816
09/405,735	09/24/1999	GERALD B. PIER	B0801/7155	7010
75	90 03/27/2002			
HELEN C LOCKHART			EXAMINER	
WOLF GREEN	IFIELD & SACKS P C		SIEW, JEFFREY	
BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637 DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/405,735	PIER, GERALD B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Siew	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommended for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 14-43 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	frawn from consideration	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	i.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	by the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	shovence. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be new in	disapproved by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b	is a supplier of				
If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		o o c 440(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	1 Cartified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
o Contified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations	priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 a list of the certified copie	been received in this National Stage 2(a)). es not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U	1.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application	has been received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	48) 5) N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:				

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Location of Application

1. The location of the subject application has changed. The subject application is now located in Group 1630, Art Unit 1637, and is assigned to Patent Examiner Jeffrey Siew.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged. The response has not submitted any arguments at the time of this writing.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Claims 1-13 & 44-68 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No.12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1656

A) The term "therapeutically effective amount" renders claims 14-22 & 24 indefinite because it is unclear as to what disease the preparation to is to be therapeutic for. Consequently the effective amount is indeterminate.

B) Claims 14-43 are confusing because it is unclear whether the claims scope may also be inclusive of fatty acid portions of LPS structure.

C) The term "biocompatible" is indefinite. It is unclear the meaning of the term in view of the claims. It is unclear whether the term refers to a pharmaceutical effect, beneficial or lack of harmful effect in an organism.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 26-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Masoud et al (J. of Bacteriology Dec. 1995 pp. 6718-6726).

Masoud et al teach the isolation of lipopolysaccharide core of <u>P. aeruginosa</u> (see whole doc.). They teach that the core contains an alanine (see Table 2 & Figure 8 & 9).

The term "bioactive agent" and "covalent conjugate" are interpreted broadly to include the lipo portions of the lipopolysaccharide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-23 & 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masoud et al (J. of Bacteriology Dec. 1995 pp. 6718-6726) in view of Pennington et al (J. of Inf. Diseases vol. 144 (6) pp. 599-603).

<u>Pennington et al</u> teach a lipopolysaccharide vaccine preparation from P. aeruginosa (see whole doc.).

Pennington et al do not teach the LPS of the claimed structure.

Masoud et al teach the isolation of lipopolysaccharide core of P. aeruginosa (see whole doc.). They teach that the core contains an alanine (see Table 2 & Figure 8 & 9).

Art Unit: 1656

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to apply Masoud et al's isolated P. aeruginosa LPS to Pennington et al's vaccine in order to create species specific vaccine for P. Aeruginosa vaccine. Masoud et al teach that P. aeruginosa often cause fatal infections and the LPS is surface antigen in the outer membrane. As Pennington et al teach that the P. Aeruginosa vaccine induced greater serum titers for superior detection, it would have been prima facie obvious to apply Masoud et al's teaching of successful isolation of LPS to Masoud et al's teachings to create an effective vaccine preparation.

SUMMARY

7. No claims allowed. However claim 24 is free of the prior art. There is no art that teach or suggest the claimed lipopolysaccharide core of <u>P. aeruginosa</u> in aerosol form.

CONCLUSION

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Siew whose telephone number is (703) 305-3886 and whose e-mail address is Jeffrey.Siew@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner is on flex-time schedule and can best be reached on weekdays from 6:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119.

Art Unit: 1656

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist for Technology Center 1600 whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Center numbers for Group 1600 are Voice (703) 308-3290 and Before Final FAX (703) 872-9306 or After Final FAX (703) 30872-9307.

Jeffrey Siew Primary Examiner March 23, 2002